

Toxicity Mitigation and Solidification of MSW Incinerator Fly Ash Using Alkaline Activated Coal Ash

World of Coal Ash, Denver, CO, May 9-12

Presenter: E. Ivan Diaz-Loya



•Dr. Erez N. Allouche, Dr. Sven Eklund, Anupam Joshi



Trenchless Technology Center
Louisiana Tech University

Outline

- MSW Incineration
- Mechanism of Stabilization/Solidification in GPC
- Experimental Plan
- Results
- Conclusion



Municipal Solid Waste Incineration

Waste to Energy Plant Diagram

Pollution Control System

1

Nitrogen
Oxide
Removal
System

2

Mercury
& Dioxin
Removal
System

3


Acid Gas
Removal
System

4

Particulate
Removal
System

5

Pollution
Control
Test



Source: ecomaine.



Trenchless Technology Center
Louisiana Tech University

Municipal Solid Waste Incineration

ADVANTAGES

- Solid waste reduction of up to 90% by volume
- Energy recovery (in some cases)
- Sustainable solid waste management

DISADVANTAGES

- Dioxins and Furans
- CO₂, NO_x & SO_x emissions
- Heavy metals and salts
- Cost



Those in Favor...



Those Against...



~~Homer Simpson~~
~~World Health Org~~ Guide

To Chosing A Site for TOXIC Waste Incinerators



First, Get your hands a site.

Then, Make sure its:

~~Not~~ on a Gas Pipeline ✓

~~Not~~ on a Flood Plain ✓

~~Not~~ next to Schools, colleges prisons etc ✓

~~Not~~ subject to coastal erosion ✓

~~Not~~ in a valley prone to fogginess ✓

~~Not~~ in an area with any tourist value ✓

~~Definitely not~~ with an exploding metal works in it's centre ✓

~~Not~~ on a volcano or earthquake ✓

Ringaskiddy ✓✓✓



Current treatment Methods of IFA

- Chemical washing
- Extraction and chloride evaporation
- Vitrification
- Soliroc


Disadvantages

- Expensive
- Energy consumption
- Generate other waste
- Product not to be used due to its toxicity



Immobilization/Stabilization Mechanism in a Geopolymer Network

1. Metal ions are taken into the geopolymer network
2. They assume charge balancing roles
3. Remaining metal ions are physically encapsulated in the geopolymer matrix



Experimental Plan

- Gradually substitute Coal-derived fly ash (CFA) with Incinerator fly ash (IFA) in a geopolymer matrix
 1. Control sample of CFA designated as 00-100
 2. 20% of CFA was substituted by IFA, this mixture was labeled as 20-80
 3. 40, 60, 80 and finally a control sample of IFA labeled as mixtures 40-60, 60-40, 80-20 and 100-00, respectively



Tests

Fly Ash

- Chemical analysis (XRF)
- Crystallographic characteristics (XRD)
- Particle size distribution (Laser based)
- Particle Morphology (SEM)
- Leaching Tests (EPA's TCLP)

Concrete

- Compressive strength
- Flexural strength
- Elastic modulus
- Poisson's ratio
- Setting time
- Leaching Tests



Chemical Analysis

IFA-CFA Oxide.	00-100	20-80	40-60	60-40	80-20	100-00
SiO ₂	62.12	50.37	38.62	26.87	15.12	3.37
Al ₂ O ₃	19.59	16.01	12.43	8.85	5.27	1.69
CaO	5.01	11.89	18.78	25.66	32.55	39.43
Fe ₂ O ₃	6.88	5.71	4.54	3.37	2.21	1.04
MgO	2.18	1.95	1.72	1.48	1.25	1.02
SO ₃	0.21	0.76	1.30	1.85	2.39	2.94
Na ₂ O	0.88	1.28	1.68	2.08	2.48	2.88
K ₂ O	1.37	1.63	1.88	2.14	2.40	2.65
TiO ₂	1.01	0.92	0.83	0.75	0.66	0.57
MnO ₂	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.09	0.07	0.05
P ₂ O ₅	0.09	1.97	3.84	5.72	7.60	9.47
SrO	0.20	0.18	0.15	0.13	0.11	0.08
BaO	0.20	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.08	0.05
Cl		3.26	6.53	9.79	13.06	16.32
Moisture content	0.17	0.14	0.10	0.07	0.03	0.00
Loss On Ignition	0.10	3.23	6.35	9.48	12.60	15.73




Crystallographic Characteristics




Component	ID	Code	00-100		20-80		40-60		60-40		80-20		100-00	
			FA	GP	FA	GP	FA	GP	FA	GP	FA	GP	FA	GP
Anhydrite	CaSO ₄				0.08		0.38		0.96		1.60		2.00	
Anorthite	CaAl ₂ Si ₂ O ₈				0.12		0.58		1.44		2.40		3.00	
Calcite	(CaCO ₃)				0.12	0.28	0.58	1.40	1.44	3.50	2.40	5.84	3.00	7.30
Gehlenite	Ca ₂ Al ₂ SiO ₇				0.15		0.77		1.92		3.20		4.00	
Hematite	(Fe ₂ O ₃)			0.20		0.16		0.10		0.04		0.01		
Ellestadite	Ca ₅ (SiO ₄ , PO ₄ , SO ₄)(F,OH,Cl)				1.20	1.38	2.40	2.76	3.60	4.14	4.80	5.52	6.00	6.90
Halite	(NaCl)				0.60	1.50	1.20	3.00	1.80	4.50	2.40	6.00	3.00	7.50
Magnetite	(Fe ₃ O ₄)		1.00	0.80	0.80	0.64	0.60	0.48	0.40	0.32	0.20	0.16		
Mullite	(Al _{4.5} Si _{1.5} O _{9.75})		5.20	4.80	4.16	3.84	3.12	2.88	2.08	1.92	1.04	0.96		
Quartz	(SiO ₂)		20.70	12.20	16.66	9.82	12.62	7.44	8.58	5.06	4.54	2.68	0.50	0.30
Sylvite	(KCl)				0.04		0.08		0.12		0.16		0.20	
Na ₂ CO ₃					0.20		0.40		0.60		0.80		1.00	
Amorphous			73.10	82.00	73.94	81.20	74.78	80.40	75.62	79.60	76.46	78.80	77.30	78.00




Particle Size Distribution




Scanning Electron Microscopy




Leaching of Coal Fly Ash




Leaching of Incinerator Fly Ash




Toxicity Reduction in GPP



Toxicity Reduction in GPC



Mechanical Characterization of Concrete Samples



Conclusions

- The leachability of Cr, As, Se, Ag, Ba and Hg contained in IFA can be effectively reduced in a geopolymer concrete network
- No reduction in the leachability levels of Cd and Pb
- TCLP results and mechanical tests suggest that the concrete product could be used in construction applications



Thank you!

Contact: E. Ivan Diaz-Loya, (318) 257-5303, eid002@latech.edu

1-800-626-8659

www.gpc.latech.edu



Trenchless Technology Center
Louisiana Tech University